

From: Flaherty, Rob EOP/WHO [REDACTED]
Sent: 4/22/2021 12:05:16 AM
To: [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com; [REDACTED]@google.com
CC: Slavitt, Andrew M. EOP/WHO [REDACTED]@who.eop.gov; Humphrey, Clarke EOP/WHO [REDACTED]@who.eop.gov; Fitzpatrick, Kelsey V. EOP/WHO [REDACTED]@who.eop.gov
Subject: Following Up on Today's Conversation

All – Thanks again for the conversation today.

We'll look out for the top trends that you've seen in terms of misinformation around the vaccine.

To recap: As we move away from a supply problem toward a demand problem, we remain concerned that Youtube is "funneling" people into hesitance and intensifying people's hesitancy. We certainly recognize that removing content that is unfavorable to the cause of increasing vaccine adoption is not a realistic – or even good – solution. But we want to be sure that you have a handle on vaccine hesitancy generally and are working toward making the problem better. This is a concern that is shared at the highest (and I mean highest) levels of the WH, so we'd like to continue a good-faith dialogue about what is going on under the hood here. I'm the one on the hook for reporting out.

Just before we were meeting [this article from BuzzFeed popped](#), highlighting the Youtube misinformation that is spreading through the Vietnamese community. I think this brings up a question that I had in our first meeting about your capabilities around misinformation in non-english-speaking communities. Clearly, more work to be done here. Would love to get some insights from you on how you are tackling this problem across all languages – how your enforcement has differed in languages and what your road map to improvement is.

A couple of other things it would be good to have from you all:

- As mentioned up top, the top trends that you're seeing in terms of misinformation/hesitance inducing content (Stanford has mentioned that it's recently Vaccine Passports and J&J pause-related stuff, but I'm not sure if that reflects what you're seeing)
- A deeper dive on reduction and its effectiveness. It's helpful that you mentioned that watch time is your key metric. I believe you said you reduced watch time by 70% on "borderline" content, which is impressive. Obviously, the term "borderline" is moveable, but taking it for what it is: How does that track with vaccine-related content specifically (removing the "UFO stuff"). What has the comparative reduction in watch time on "borderline" vaccine topics been after your interventions? And what has the increase in watch time been on authoritative information?
- I appreciated your unequivocal response that you are not recommending anti-vaccine content and you are lifting authoritative information in both search and recommendations to all audiences. Related to the second bullet: to what extent have your ranking interventions been effective there? And, perhaps more critically, to what degree is content from people who have been given a "strike" still being recommended and shown in prominent search positions?
- I feel like I am not coming away with a very clear picture of how you're measuring the effectiveness of uplifting authoritative information. I obviously buy the theory – but how did you arrive on info-panels as the best intervention? And to what extent are people clicking through after exposure to vaccine-hesitant content? What are you doing mechanically to boost the authoritative information? When you have relevant influencers speak to experts, I imagine (hope?) it's not just putting the content out there and that you're recommending it to people for whom it would be most relevant. How does that work?
- What are the general vectors by which people see the "borderline" content – or really just vaccine-skeptical content? Is it largely through recommendations? Search?

We are excited to continuing partnering with you on this work as we have via [REDACTED] but we want to make sure that the work extends to the broader problem. Needless to say, in a couple of weeks when we're having trouble

getting people to get vaccinated, we'll be in the barrel together here. We've worked with a number of platform partners to track down similar information based on internal data, including partners of similar scale. I am feeling a bit like I don't have a full sense of the picture here. We speak with other platforms on a semi-regular basis. We'd love to get in this habit with you. Perhaps bi-weekly?

Looking forward to more conversation.

-Rob

Rob Flaherty

Director of Digital Strategy

The White House

Cell: [REDACTED]